

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

-----  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

DAZZLE JOE YOUNG,

Defendant.  
-----

:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:

CASE NO. 5:11-CR-328

OPINION & ORDER  
[Resolving Doc. No. [86](#)]

JAMES S. GWIN, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE:

On February 8, 2012, a jury convicted Dazzle Joe Young of having both a felony conviction and a firearm, in violation of [18 U.S.C. § 922\(g\)\(1\)](#). *See* [Doc. [69](#)]. The Court sentenced Young to 188 months' imprisonment. *See* [Doc. [84](#)]. Young appealed. *See* [Doc. [85](#)].

Five days later, Young, now proceeding pro se and copying the "Governor of Ohio" and the "President of The United States," moved this Court "to reconsider and modify [his] sentence," arguing that it "lacks-subject-matter jurisdiction." [Doc. [86](#)]. To top it off, Young "warn[ed]" that a "criminal complaint will be filed against Judge, James S. Gwin on the grounds of conspiracy to commit a crime if the judge ignores, rejects, or denies the defendant's motion to reconsider.....Memorandum of law...etc." *Id.* (ellipses in original). The Court of Appeals held Young's appeal in abeyance until this Court resolved Young's motion for reconsideration. *See* [Doc. [88](#)]. Here, it does:

Case No. 5:11-CR-328  
Gwin, J.

Young's motion is **DENIED**. Whatever the "Peace And Friendship Treaty,' of 1887," [Doc. [86](#)], is or says, it does not deprive this Court of subject-matter jurisdiction over Young's offense.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 14, 2012

s/ *James S. Gwin*  
JAMES S. GWIN  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE